

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,665	<b>-</b>	07/16/2003	Jamshid Karimi	L8344-1003	8648
2292	7590	08/10/2005		EXAM	INER
BIRCH S	TEWAR	RT KOLASCH & BII	CHIN, PAUL T		
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3652	
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/620,665	KARIMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PAUL T. CHIN	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	16 July 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · ·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		received				
555 the attached detailed Office detail for t	·					
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI	3/08) 5) Notice of I	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 08082005				

Application/Control Number: 10/620,665 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

2.

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-29, drawn to a pet refuse tool, classified in class 294, subclass 1.3.

II. Claims 30-37, drawn to a method for scooping an object and disposing in a bag,

classified in class 15, subclass 257.4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced

with another materially different products such as waste collection devices (including a rake or a

dust pan with a bag or container).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search

required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. It is pointed out that if Group I is elected, this application contains claims directed to the

following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I the species of Figs. 1-6 and 8-13

Application/Control Number: 10/620,665

Art Unit: 3652

II the species of Figs. 19A-C

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that none of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3652

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (571) 272-6922. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner

Paul cli

Art Unit 3652